# VERBATIM PROCEEDINGS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

### CONNECTICUT HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND EXCHANGE

ELIZABETH KEYES, ACTING CHAIRPERSON

FEBRUARY 19, 2013

101 EAST RIVER DRIVE EAST HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

1	Verbatim proceedings of a meeting in
2	the matter of Connecticut Health Information Technology
3	and Exchange, held at 101 East River Drive, East Hartford,
4	Connecticut on 2013 at 4:37 P.M
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ELIZABETH KEYES: I call
10	the meeting to order. For those of you who don't know me,
11	my name is Elizabeth Keyes. I'm the Executive Assistant
12	to Dr. Jewel Mullen of the Department of Public Health, so
13	I'm going to be here as her designee on the Committee for
14	a portion of the future I understand.
15	So without further adieu I guess our first
16	order of business would be the adoption of the previous
17	meeting minutes. I guess I need
18	MR. BRUCE CHUDWICK: Is there a motion to
19	approve?
20	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Do we have a
21	motion to approve the minutes?
22	MS. BRENDA KELLEY: So moved.
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Moved by Brenda
24	Kelly, is there a second?

1	MR. MARK RAYMOND: Second.
2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Second by Mark
3	Raymond, any discussion?
4	MS. BARBARA PARKS-WOLF: Yeah, just a
5	correction. I seconded the minutes the minutes says I
6	seconded something but I'm a non-voting member, so it
7	probably needs a correction.
8	MS. CHRIS KRAUS: I'll fix that.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Okay, anything
10	else? Alright, all those in favor?
11	ALL VOICES: Aye.
12	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Alright, thank
13	you. The next item under No. 3, Board business, the first
14	item will be the Treasurer's report.
15	MS. KRAUS: I sent everyone all of the
16	financials that you get every month and these are as of
17	January 31st of this year. We have \$570,916.34 in our
18	Webster account. Current liability, this is on your
19	balance sheet, is \$2 million about \$2.5 million, and
20	our total liabilities and equity is the \$570,916.34.
21	If you go to your revenue and expenses our
22	total expenses to date are about \$2.34 million, net income
23	is a negative \$2,052,521.09. This is on the accrual basis
24	once again, so it includes the unpaid balances any

1	unpaid balances. The third sheet is the unpaid balances	
2	and that amount is \$3 million oh no, it's actually	
3	it's the same as it has been the last few months,	
4	\$2,548,620.50, and all of these are from Axway. The cash	
5	flow are the actual transactions for the month, that's on	
6	your last sheet that was sent by e-mail. And for January,	
7	total cash balance is \$611,000 oh, we started out with	
8	\$611,000 and we spent \$40,303 for the month.	
9	The payroll, we had an extra it depends	
10	on how you hit the payroll so it was a little higher, next	
11	month will be a little bit lower because of that. Any	
12	questions? Okay.	
13	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Do we have a	
14	motion to approve?	
15	MALE VOICE: So moved.	
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Do we have a	
17	second?	
18	MALE VOICE: Second.	
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: All those in	
20	favor?	
21	ALL VOICES: Aye.	
22	MR. CHUDWICK: Any opposed? Okay.	
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: Alright, next	
24	item would be the election of the Vice	

1	Chairperson/Treasurer.
2	MR. CHUDWICK: As all the Board members
3	know, we've had a vacancy in the Vice
4	Chairperson/Treasurer seat since Tom left the Board. And
5	at this point I think the Commissioner and others are
6	ready to move forward to appoint a Vice Chairperson and
7	Treasurer as Mr. Mark Raymond. So what we'll do is in
8	order since this is an election technically we should
9	ask for nominations and second to the nominations and then
10	vote on the person that the Board would like to choose.
11	So is there a nomination for Mr. Raymond to
12	be Vice Chairperson/Treasurer of the Board?
13	DR. STEVEN THORNQUIST: I would like to
14	nominate
15	MR. CHUDWICK: I'm not sure if you're a
16	voting member Steve because he's now in your seat.
17	DR. THORNQUIST: Okay, alright I withdraw
18	then. I don't want to
19	MR. CHUDWICK: It's alright.
20	MS. ANGELA MATTIE: I'll be happy to
21	nominate Mark Raymond.
22	MR. CHUDWICK: Okay.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MALE VOICE: I second the nomination.

MR. CHUDWICK: Any other nominations?

23

24

- Okay, nominations are closed. All those I favor of Mr.
- 2 Raymond being the Vice Chairperson/Treasurer of the Board
- 3 of HITE/CT please signify by saying Aye.
- 4 ALL VOICES: Aye.
- 5 MR. CHUDWICK: Those opposed say no.
- 6 Motion is carried, you are duly elected Mr. Raymond.
- 7 MR. RAYMOND: Thank you very much.
- 8 ACTING CHAIRPERSON KEYES: And with that I
- 9 hand the meeting over --
- 10 MR. RAYMOND: So thank you all for coming.
- I know that we're at an interesting point as it relates to
- 12 HITE/CT. I'm happy to take the role of Vice Chair and
- help move this forward. I think it's a critical function
- that we've signed up to provide and I think it's -- while
- 15 it is going to undergo some changes, I'm looking forward
- to the challenge.
- And I know it's not insignificant but I'm
- hopeful through the process that we are able to engage
- 19 stakeholders much more closely in terms of what it is that
- 20 they need out of this initiative. And I think that will
- 21 be key to the success of what it is that we're trying to
- do, so I appreciate your support and look forward to the
- challenges ahead.
- 24 The next item on the agenda is a move to

1 the executive session to get an update on the pending 2 contract claims with Axway. So I would ask if there's 3 anyone to make a motion in this regard. 4 DR. THORNQUIST: I move to go into 5 executive session to discuss pending issues with Axway. MR. RAYMOND: Thank you, and a second? 6 7 MALE VOICE: Second. 8 MR. RAYMOND: Okay, all in favor? 9 ALL VOICES: Aye. 10 MR. CHUDWICK: And those invited into 11 executive session would include Ben Berger, who is on the 12 phone, from Updike Kelly & Spellacy --13 MR. RAYMOND: Okav. 14 MS. MATTIE: Karen Buffkin --15 MR. CHUDWICK: Karen Buffkin from OPM, 16 myself from Shipman & Goodwin -- let's see, I think we 17 have pretty much invited everyone in so the motion would 18 include those people to be in executive session along with 19 the Board members. 20 DR. THORNQUIST: I meant to say --21 MR. CHUDWICK: The minutes will reflect 22 that. All those in favor of going into executive session 23 please signify by saying Aye.

ALL VOICES: Aye.

24

1	MR. CHUDWICK: Those opposed say no.
2	Motion carries, we're in executive session.
3	MR. RAYMOND: Thank you.
4	(off the record executive session)
5	MR. RAYMOND: So thank you for that, we've
6	concluded our executive session. Moving on to item five
7	on the agenda, other business. John DeStefano, do you
8	want to take it from there?
9	MR. JOHN DeSTEFANO: Okay. So other
10	business updates, we don't have much here to cover. I'll
11	cover that in the CTO report. One thing that we want to
12	make clear to everybody, we did all agree on the first
13	Tuesday of the month for Board meetings into the future.
14	So we thank everybody for their agreement on that date.
15	It helps out and hopefully everybody can attend.
16	MS. KRAUS: Bruce, do we need a motion on
17	that?
18	MR. CHUDWICK: Yeah, we should vote on that
19	as a separate item under 5B, just to approve the regular
20	meeting schedule. So but that can come after your
21	update report, okay.
22	MR. DeSTEFANO: And then the extension of
23	legal services agreement, so we have legal services, two
24	partners, and we need to extend those now. So we do need

1 a vote to just extend those services, they're hourly rated 2 services, and we'll use them when appropriate. MS. KRAUS: There's two firms, Updike Kelly 4 & Spellacy and Bruce's firm, Goodwin and --5 MR. CHUDWICK: Shipman & Goodwin. MS. KRAUS: -- Shipman & Goodwin, it's been 6 7 a long week. 8 MR. CHUDWICK: And both -- Chris was good 9 to find that I think both of our contracts expire on 10 February 28th of this month, so to extend them for a year 11 under the contracts you can do two one year renewals 12 before you go back out to bid if you so want to do that. DR. THORNOUIST: And this is for certain 13 14 maintenance legal services --15 MR. CHUDWICK: Right, well it will be for 16 Updike for their ongoing services for litigation work and 17 other work on the contract and ours for the general 18 government's work. 19 DR. THORNQUIST: Alright --20 MR. CHUDWICK: Should we do 5B first so 21 that the update is complete, or?

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. CHUDWICK: Okay.

MR. DeSTEFANO: The update is complete.

MS. BETTYE JO PAKULIS: I just have a

22

23

24

1	question on it. So are we going to extend it for a full
2	year or did I read somewhere where it was month-to-month?
3	MR. DeSTEFANO: We pay it by the hour.
4	MS. PAKULIS: We pay by the hour.
5	MR. DeSTEFANO: Right, so we don't incur
6	MS. PAKULIS: Okay, so if we have them for
7	a year and we don't use them then they don't get paid,
8	okay, thank you.
9	DR. THORNQUIST: Now would it be in order?
10	MR. CHUDWICK: Well, 5B would be next for
11	the regular meeting schedule. So the first Tuesday of
12	every month, is what the polling the survey said I
13	guess?
14	MR. RAYMOND: So you need a motion for
15	that?
16	MR. CHUDWICK: A motion to approve the
17	regular meeting schedule, right.
18	MR. RAYMOND: Okay, second?
19	FEMALE VOICE: Second.
20	MS. KRAUS: Oh, a first and who seconded
21	it? (Indiscernible).
22	MR. RAYMOND: All in favor?
23	ALL VOICES: Aye.
24	MR. RAYMOND: Okay, so do we have our

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

- 1 motion on the extension of the legal service agreements
- for a 12 month period of time?
- 3 DR. THORNQUIST: I'll make that motion.
- 4 MR. RAYMOND: Thank you Steven, motion
- 5 made. Any seconds?
- 6 MS. KELLEY: Second -- Brenda.
- 7 MR. RAYMOND: Okay we heard Brenda, motion
- 8 seconded. All in favor?
- 9 ALL VOICES: Aye.
- 10 MR. RAYMOND: Any opposed? Okay, so moved.
- 11 Next item on the agenda is the HITE/CT agency business,
- and the first sub point there is the CTO reports so I'll
- turn it back to John DeStefano.
- 14 MR. DeSTEFANO: Thanks Mark. So we
- 15 distributed the draft, the Strategic and Operations Plan
- 16 update. Probably everybody hasn't had a chance to look at
- it yet so broadly, it puts us more in line with what other
- 18 states are doing as far as trying to move Direct services
- out as an initial phase to create some network affect in
- 20 the community and get a bunch of people connected so that
- 21 thereby you can deliver other services in the future,
- which may be a little more profitable and sustainable in
- 23 nature.
- 24 Direct by itself for us, there's not a lot

of income for us in there or any frankly much in the way of sustainability from directly providing those services. So that plan, and I'll speak to phase one of that which is mostly the Direct stuff, you know, the plan centers around a couple of points which have been successful in other parts of the country. Frankly those same strategies have been not so successful in some states too. But it does focus around a voucher program so to be able to supply a limited amount of service to providers free for a certain amount of time and that can be discussed further. I have a number of plans from other states and the actual voucher agreement so -- and we have a lot of information to work with and to create something quickly to move that type of a program forward.

We'll probably do -- or I would suggest we do it in two separate waves. So one initial wave to see if we could get some providers connected and then to look and see how that worked, what was success, what kind of providers connected, what kinds of health care providers we have in that mix, and then target another wave of funding around that to further expand that network. So that has been successful in a number of states and it's been very successful in a number of states. It's been not so successful in other states, but it is a suggested

1	strategy to build some capacity in the state.
2	There's a number of other items in phase
3	one too, some about some operational items, but primarily
4	phase one would be focused on meeting the ONC targets and
5	goals around Direct messaging.
6	MR. RAYMOND: How do you want us to handle
7	comments since this is in draft format, do you
8	MR. DeSTEFANO: So I have some comments
9	from you Mark, and if we can have comments, if you just
10	want to submit the form to me you can fax them to me or we
11	can get on the phone and discuss them so that we can get a
12	revised version of this together quickly because we need
13	to actually get it together pretty quickly.
14	MS. KELLEY: What is your deadline?
15	MR. DeSTEFANO: Well, we missed the initial
16	deadline to have this in and for probably obvious reasons
17	around, you know, what it says and our change of
18	direction. That took some time to come to that
19	conclusion, that this change of direction was how we
20	needed to move forward.
21	So as quickly as you can get them to me,
22	I'd like to have it finalized for approval maybe within
23	the next week or so. At least a good draft that we could
24	give to DPH so that they could start looking at it because

- 1 we can't -- we have to submit this to DPH and then they
- will have to submit it to ONC for approval.
- MS. KRAUS: It was actually due February
- 4 9th according to our contract with DPH and an update to
- 5 the SNOP. But we had worked with ONC and so we were going
- 6 to completely revise it. So because our first Board
- 7 meeting was cancelled, I told DPH that we were waiting to
- 8 hear from the Board for comments but it's due to ONC by
- 9 February 28th --
- 10 MR. DeSTEFANO: Right.
- 11 MS. KRAUS: -- with approval first from
- 12 DPH.
- 13 MR. DeSTEFANO: So it's a short timeframe.
- MS. MATTIE: So by the end of the week?
- 15 MR. DeSTEFANO: The end of the week would
- 16 be great, you know, no later than Monday or Tuesday of
- 17 next week.
- 18 MS. KELLEY: You had other things attached
- 19 to the --
- MR. DeSTEFANO: Yeah, so --
- MS. KELLEY: -- so what is it that we're
- 22 reviewing?
- MR. DeSTEFANO: You're reviewing the
- 24 revised Strategic and Operational Plan.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 MS. KELLEY: But there's also --2 MR. DeSTEFANO: Yeah, there are some 3 timelines there. The timeline is -- again, in draft it's 4 more tentative. And we need to put some stakes in the 5 ground as far as when we will have the voucher program complete and various other pieces of that phase one 6 7 program complete because the contract goes into the matrix 8 next and we need to report out on those items. 9 So there is a timeline included in it just to review more or less -- and that timeline follows what's 10 11 in the Plan. So I would say if you could just concentrate 12 on the Plan and as we get comments and feedback on that we 13 can review those timelines because we may in fact have to 14 revise them based on the feedback we get back. 15 expenses are part of what was sent out too, very 16 preliminary. And I'm going to talk just in a couple of 17 minutes about where those expenses -- or where the dollars 18 came from as far as the thinking behind it. 19 On phase two of what's in that new Plan 20 gets us more in line with the query response model that we 21 had envisioned in the beginning, not to the extent of the 22 utility model but more in line with what a lot of other 23 states have done as far as revision around their first go 24 forward point with what was the utility model. So I did

send out an e-mail today and it was late so probably you may not have gotten a look at it yet, but I would suggest you take a look at it. Those were numbers that came back from ONC just recently around how states are doing. And if you look on it, 39 states have successfully implemented Direct on a large scale in the state, up from the last reporting period by I think eight or nine states.

And there's also 25 states that have implemented a query response-type model also. So the combination of the two of them really is where we want to get to in the future and what will provide the most value to frankly the people of Connecticut as far as having portable health data. The first phase is that phase that sets up the second phase. It builds the network, it allows us to bring partners in, it gets interest in it, and it really is an important part of it. But the second phase is really where, if there are services that HITE/CT can offer to make us sustainable, that's really where probably the majority of them are going to come from. So it's also an important part of our future.

That -- the Plan is less specific around that because I think we sort of missed the mark a little bit in the first go around in not really engaging the community specifically, and very specifically what's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

important to them, how could an organization -- a company like HITE/CT help their efforts. So where do we really fit? And I think once we define that a little bit more specifically -- and part of phase two is to have those conversations with stakeholders. Once we define that a little bit more specifically then we can be more specific about exactly what services we will provide in phase two.

There's also -- I sent around a very, very draft copy, and I know Mark saw it and he's got all kinds of blue things on it here, but again, it was more or less a draft of an enterprise architecture group who could define really what phase two and phase one look like together, what kinds of services HITE/CT would offer, so it's more or less an outline of how one might look. suggestion here -- and again, our suggestions, until we get back to our stakeholder group and our stakeholder community and ask them what should HITE/CT as being that organization designated by the State of Connecticut help provide these services, what should we be offering? What is valuable to your organization? Frankly, what are the things that you probably aren't going to offer, those gaps, that's where I think is our place in the future at least to begin with.

We have to be cognizant of two things, we

1	have to make sure that patients in the state the people
2	are provided for and they have secure and portable a
3	secure and portable health record and that we find a
4	business place in the state where we can provide services
5	that we can actually get paid for. So a brief recap of
6	what's in there, if you would be so kind as to read it and
7	give me some feedback, that would be great. Brenda?
8	MS. KELLEY: I just want to ask a
9	procedural thing. So in order for this to be submitted to
10	ONC, this requires approval by this Board?
11	MR. DeSTEFANO: It does require approval by
12	the Board. So given that we may can we do approval via
13	
14	MR. CHUDWICK: What we've done in the past
15	is we well, we'd have a special meeting
16	MR. DeSTEFANO: Yup.
17	MR. CHUDWICK: or we've done a lot of
18	delegation to either Committees or to the Chair or Vice
19	Chair/Treasurer to make final approval on sending the
20	you know, take action. So I suggest if you maybe if
21	the Board members can send in comments to John and then
22	authorize by Chairperson/Treasurer to complete the
23	application and file it on behalf of the Board by February
24	28th, if that's the due date, so that does get in.

1	MS. KELLEY: My other procedural question
2	is are the comments that have been submitted, as I hear
3	you had submitted comments and will hopefully be
4	submitted, are they public comments or because this is
5	still a draft plan
6	MR. CHUDWICK: It is probably a preliminary
7	draft that would be exempt from FOI disclosure
8	MS. KELLEY: that's what I'm asking.
9	MR. CHUDWICK: if you wanted to do that.
10	But what I'd suggest is that any comments go to John, we
11	then can do a blackline of this to show any changes from
12	this to the final before it gets submitted, and authorize
13	Mark to go ahead and file it by then.
14	MS. KELLEY: But the comments we're
15	submitting are not subject to FOI because this is not a
16	final?
17	MR. CHUDWICK: If you wanted to claim an
18	exemption you probably could claim an exemption if someone
19	were to make an FOI request, right.
20	MR. DeSTEFANO: So I would ask then that
21	well, we can pick that up after as far as some motion to
22	allow Mark to be able to submit them on ITT's behalf.
23	MR. RAYMOND: Okay, does that address
24	under the CTO report does that address the three items

that are there because I really only spent time talking
about the third bullet under the CTO report?

MR. DeSTEFANO: Right, two other -- the two other items -- so the Rhode Island quality and to issue around a Direct Marketplace is actually part of the newer plan, so it is mentioned in there. We continue to have discussions with Rhode Island, we actually have a meeting next week with the Rhode Island Quality Institute and Lawrence Memorial Hospital; Lawrence Memorial Hospital is in the process of purchasing Westerly Hospital.

so we will have cross-state information exchange probably in a very short time and both of us would like to be involved in that. Rhode Island certainly is very interested in it and, you know frankly it does, from the perspective of future grants and working with the ONC on various projects, this is interesting to them frankly because of the cross-state nature of it and how exactly that would be operationalized. So we will have that meeting with them next week. The Direct Marketplace if this Plan is approved, then that is part of the Plan to execute on that Direct Marketplace Agreement with Rhode Island so that we would become -- or we would participate in their Direct Marketplace, which would give us a number of venders that Connecticut providers could use for Direct

- 1 services.
- 2 So that's where that is. I don't -- is
- 3 there further questions or comments?
- 4 MS. KELLEY: Yeah, I have a question on the
- 5 Marketplace.
- 6 MR. DeSTEFANO: Sure.
- 7 MS. KELLEY: Do we have venders as a state?
- 8 MR. DeSTEFANO: There are many venders in
- 9 the state, none currently really are operational on a
- 10 large scale with any Direct services. So there are a
- 11 number of venders in the state who have Direct services,
- 12 Quest being one of them, Cerner being another one. Those
- 13 are two EHR venders.
- 14 MS. KELLEY: So I'm assuming that if we
- 15 participate with Rhode Island it's not just that we have
- 16 access to their venders but our venders would perhaps --
- MR. DeSTEFANO: Join.
- 18 MS. KELLEY: -- have some preference in
- 19 their Marketplace?
- MR. DeSTEFANO: Well, so --
- MS. KELLEY: That's what I'm asking.
- MR. DeSTEFANO: -- yes, so they would.
- 23 They would be able to join but Rhode Island, to join the
- 24 Marketplace they have to meet the requirements. And they

1 go back to all of that technology part of Direct, which is 2 to meet the applicability statement for secure health 3 transport. So they have to meet the technology part, 4 there's also accreditation now that Directtrust.org is 5 doing to accredite Direct venders not only on technology 6 but also on policy and procedure. So in the future from a 7 national perspective this is frankly the direction that a 8 lot of venders are going -- they're seeking -- they will 9 seek in the future. 10 There's a beta test or a beta period going 11 on right now with six venders, but in the future really to operate a Direct -- to be a Direct vender in the future 12 13 probably you're going to have to be accredited by 14 Directtrust.org. That opens up the possibility then, 15 because of the way it works, for you to do cross-state 16 exchange securely frankly because of the way 17 Directtrust.org has frameworked the whole thing. So Rhode 18 Island is a partner of Directtrust.org, they do all the administrative functions for Directtrust.org. So we're --19 20 you know, them being a partner and being frankly the first 21 one in the country to put this Direct Marketplace approach 22 forward, at least I am very comfortable with them. 23 And if the Board needs some more convincing 24 I'd be happy to try to do that. Did that answer your

1	question?
2	MS. KELLEY: It does. I guess what I don't
3	understand is and this isn't about being uncomfortable
4	with them. That's not really what I'm asking, but what do
5	we get in this partnership you know, let's say we
6	decided not to do it.
7	MR. DeSTEFANO: Right.
8	MS. KELLEY: And we have these networks
9	that are being established in Connecticut and they want to
10	use a Rhode Island Marketplace vender. Are they going to
11	be somehow prevented from doing that?
12	MR. DeSTEFANO: No, they could use the
13	Rhode Island Marketplace venders right now. The way the
14	Marketplace in Rhode Island is set up, it's not exclusive
15	to just Rhode Island providers. So somebody from
16	Massachusetts can use it, somebody from Connecticut
17	MS. KELLEY: And Quest could, if they met
18	their requirements, could go there and get accredited?
19	MR. DeSTEFANO: right, Quest could join
20	also.
21	MS. KELLEY: Yeah, so what is it that we
22	get by saying we're part of it? I guess that's different?
23	MR. DeSTEFANO: We get access to their
24	mature Marketplace. We also you know, frankly again

going back to that interstate cooperation, so not just because we're going to exchange data across state lines but there is a push. And again, I don't want to waste --not waste, but spend too much of everybody's time on this. But for us to start looking at how we can form agreements with other states who are already doing this and frankly save costs, if we could set up a Direct Marketplace up ourselves we would go through the cost of doing that, not that it's a lot.

It's a lot of time frankly, not so much dollar-wise cost. But if we could take advantage of these resources that are already out there in the community from other states, then I think it makes sense to do that and it bodes well I think for Connecticut's willingness to move forward using more creative strategies and that looks good to our ONC partners.

MS. KELLEY: Okay, thank you.

MR. DeSTEFANO: Okay, so just a couple -or actually just one other thing that I wanted to talk
about under other business. We did visit Maine for the
second time. And I've had a number of conversations with
the Maine Health Information Exchange, which is Health
Infonet. So quickly just to recap, because I think we've
talked about this before.

1 But they set up shop in about, I think it 2 was 2008. They are a dot org, they are not affiliated 3 with the state in any way other than they did get the 4 grant funding -- the Health Information Exchange grant 5 funding. They're probably -- and as Minakshi was with me 6 too at the last ONC grantee meeting, they're probably the 7 most efficiently run, if not the most successful, Health 8 Information Exchange in the country. I take that back, 9 they probably are -- you know, from all outside 10 perspectives that other states have looked at they have 11 basically contracts with every hospital in the state 12 except for one, which they expect to get soon. They have 13 360 provider organizations hooked up to their Exchange. 14 Their operational costs for their 15 technology is under \$1 million a year for that 16 infrastructure and they're looking for ways -- they do 17 believe there are ways that can even bring that down 18 further. So there's a lot to be learned from Maine and 19 again, going back to those possible negotiations or 20 agreements or business contracts even that we can form 21 with other states that are already doing this and doing it 22 very well, you know, Maine is certainly one that has a lot 23 of potential in that area. So we learned a lot from Maine 24 and some of the numbers actually that I had put in the

1 back in the revised Plan around how much it would cost to 2 operate an infrastructure were based sort of roughly on 3 costs from Maine and Rhode Island. 4 But that's for us to have our own really 5 and if you think of the concept of, you know, maybe we 6 want to rent it from some state that's already doing a 7 real good job of it, those possibilities exist too. So as 8 I said, the numbers in the back are rough. I don't think 9 that \$3 million a year could do something like this 10 probably on a state level is unreasonable. although I do 11 think we can even do it for less than that. 12 MS. KELLEY: How did they fund it? 13 MR. DeSTEFANO: So the five large employers 14 in Maine got together with some of the hospital groups 15 back in 2006 I think, and they said it's costing us too 16 much to take care of our employees, we're all self-17 insured, we need to find ways to reduce tests and that 18 type of thing, and it was funded initially that way. 19 hospitals all pay, it's about \$600 a bed, far more than 20 our hospitals are willing to pay for a bed per year. 21 The provider groups I think pay around, 22 depending on the size of the group, around \$600 a year to 23 They have a number of other services that be connected. 24 they offer around alerting services for patients going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

from one provider to another. Again, you're admitted to a hospital or discharged from a hospital, the ability to put data back to a provider when a patient leaves the hospital based on those alerting messages so they can send that provider a complete package of data. They're doing a lot of interesting work with Yale now on imaging and statewide imagining and really reducing the cost of imaging that normal hospitals would pay for the infrastructure to hold the images and store them. So they have all kinds of service lines that they're coming up with. And the reason that they're there where they are is because they have developed this network. They have a way to touch these providers and once you get that in place, then these types of services start to present themselves. So they're very far along in the curve and from that perspective they're very mature. Their funding right now comes from those revenues and about -- they're over 20 percent right now for grant funding. But they believe in the future that even though they are getting paid for these services, to continue operations and to roll out new services they need -- 20 percent of their income has to come from grant funding. MS. MATTIE: At some point once we get over

this lawsuit it would be interesting to invite them down

28

#### RE: CT HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY & EXCHANGE FEBRUARY 19, 2013

- 1 and see what they're like --
- 2 MR. DeSTEFANO: Oh, they would like to come
- 3 -- both them and Rhode Island would like to come and talk
- 4 to the Board about what's going on in both of those
- 5 states. Both of those states are very far along --
- 6 MS. KELLEY: When the Special Populations
- 7 Committee was working on a -- I'm trying to figure out
- 8 what we called it, but the understanding around consent,
- 9 that was one of the top states that we identified -- that
- 10 I took a look at. I didn't go visit them or anything but
- 11 they had -- you know, they had already wrestled with those
- issues. They had a website that had tons of information
- on it. I think you used -- you know, we've used that as
- one of the examples.
- 15 Colorado was another one that I remember we
- 16 used. So I was very excited about what I saw -- I mean,
- it looked like where we were trying to head.
- 18 MR. DeSTEFANO: So interacting with those
- 19 two states -- Rhode Island and Maine have the opposite
- 20 consent policy. Maine is, you know, opt-out, and Rhode
- 21 Island is totally opt-in.
- MS. KELLEY: Right.
- MR. DeSTEFANO: So --
- 24 MS. KELLEY: And Maine got a -- if I

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

- 1 recall, and I'm doing this from memory now, but they did
- 2 have a challenge to that opt-out --
- 3 MR. DeSTEFANO: Yup.
- 4 MS. KELLEY: -- and they went to the
- 5 Legislature and it got upheld, they could do that. But it
- 6 was controversial just like it has been in Connecticut.
- 7 MR. DeSTEFANO: Yeah, so you -- there's a
- 8 lot to learn and two of the most successful Health
- 9 Information Exchange efforts in the country are basically
- in our backyard and they're more than willing to share
- their experiences and potentially come to some other
- agreements with us as far as potentially providing some
- 13 services.
- So we'll just circle back now to a motion
- 15 to --
- 16 MR. CHUDWICK: So what you need is, you
- 17 need comments from the Board for the revised Strategic and
- Operational Plan and then approval by the Board or
- delegation of the approval to the Vice
- 20 Chairperson/Treasurer to submit the plan to DPH and ONC is
- 21 that correct?
- MR. DeSTEFANO: That's correct.
- MR. CHUDWICK: Okay. Is there a motion to
- that effect?

- 1 MR. RAYMOND: So moved.
- MR. CHUDWICK: Moved by the Commissioner,
- 3 is there a second?
- 4 DR. THORNOUIST: I'll second it.
- 5 MR. CHUDWICK: Seconded by Steve.
- 6 Discussion? And it's due by February 28th is it Chris --
- 7 okay. So comments need to come in --
- 8 MS. KRAUS: It has to be approved by DPH
- 9 first.
- 10 MR. CHUDWICK: -- right, so comments by the
- 11 end of this week I think is what --
- MR. DeSTEFANO: That would be great.
- MS. MATTIE: I would just like to
- 14 acknowledge John and Chris. It's going to be a tough row
- 15 to work under these circumstances and you continue to be
- 16 creative and push through, so thank you.
- MR. DeSTEFANO: Well thank you, we
- 18 appreciate that.
- MS. KRAUS: Yeah.
- MR. CHUDWICK: All those in favor of the
- 21 motion please signify by saying Aye.
- 22 ALL VOICES: Aye.
- MR. CHUDWICK: Those opposed say no.
- 24 Motion carries.

- 1 MR. CHUDWICK: Sorry Mr. Vice-Chairperson,
- 2 I just kind of jumped in there and --
- 3 MR. RAYMOND: I appreciate that.
- 4 MR. CHUDWICK: -- you've got a little more
- 5 authority there.
- 6 MR. RAYMOND: Thank you very much. Next on
- 7 the agenda are Committee updates. So do we have any
- 8 Committee updates?
- 9 MR. DeSTEFANO: No updates.
- MR. RAYMOND: No updates.
- DR. BUCKMAN: Mark, this is housekeeping.
- 12 It's that time a year again for ethics reporting and I'd
- like to remind everybody to get it in by May 1st. If you
- 14 have any questions you can -- I can send something to
- 15 Chris and you can send it out to the Board members.
- MS. KELLEY: I would appreciate it if you
- would.
- 18 MR. RAYMOND: Yeah, please do that.
- DR. BUCKMAN: Okay.
- MR. RAYMOND: Okay, any other comments?
- 21 Alright, next on the agenda is public comment. Do we have
- 22 any public comments today? Hearing no public comments,
- next on the agenda is an adjournment.
- 24 DR. THORNQUIST: Motion to adjourn.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1		MR. MASSELLI: Second.
2		MR. CHUDWICK: All in favor say Aye.
3		ALL VOICES: Aye.
4		MS. KRAUS: Who gave the motion to adjourn?
5		MR. CHUDWICK: I know Mark seconded it,
6	Steve made the	motion Steve made a motion, Mark
7	seconded it.	
8		(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
9	6:13 p.m.)	